

ROUTING AND TRANSMITTAL SLIP

Date

5/30/85

TO: (Name, office symbol, room number,
building, Agency/Post)

Initials

Date

1. D/INFORMATION SERVICES

2. D/INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY

3. D/SECURITY

4. *D/LOGISTICS*

Action	File	Note and Return
Approval	For Clearance	Per Conversation
As Requested	For Correction	Prepare Reply
Circulate	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> For Your Information	See Me
Comment	Investigate	Signature
Coordination	Justify	

REMARKS

DO NOT use this form as a RECORD of approvals, concurrences, disposals,
clearances, and similar actions

Room No.—Bldg.

Phone No.

5041-102

OPTIONAL FORM 41 (Rev. 7-76)
Prescribed by GSA
FPMR (41 CFR) 101-11.206

* GPO: 1983 O - 381-529 (232)

EXECUTIVE SECRETARIAT
ROUTING SLIP

TO:

		ACTION	INFO	DATE	INITIAL
1	DCI		X		
2	DDCI		X		
3	EXDIR				
4	D/ICS		X		
5	DDI		X		
6	DDA		X		
7	DDO		X		
8	DDS&T		X		
9	Chm/NIC				
10	GC				
11	IG				
12	Compt				
13	D/Pers				
14	D/OLL				
15	D/PAO				
16	SA/IA				
17	AO/DCI				
18	C/IPD/OIS				
19	NIO S/&T		X		
20	C/TTIC		X		
21	C/TTAC		X		
22					
SUSPENSE		Date			

Remarks

*3 letters att*28 May 85
Date



3637 (10-81)

DDA SUBJECT
STAT
STAT
COPY
9/3/85

CORNELL UNIVERSITY

300 DAY HALL
P.O. Box D.H.
ITHACA, N. Y. 14853

Office of the Provost



May 23, 1985

Mr. William J. Casey
Director
Central Intelligence Agency
Washington, D.C. 20505

Dear Mr. Casey:

I am writing to urge you to support adoption of the draft National Policy on the Transfer of Scientific and Technical Information (attached) that is under review in the National Security Council.

This policy reflects the only rational and manageable means of identifying which research and technology carried out at research universities should be restricted. Policies which allow definition after the fact will inevitably be subject to abuse (probably totally unintentional) and will lead to situations that can be challenged and which will lead to litigation. The policy as proposed is clean and workable and mechanisms exist to put it into effect. It has been developed by an interagency working group that involves OSTP, the Department of Defense and others. I urge you to recommend its prompt promulgation as national policy.

Yours sincerely,


Robert Barker
Provost



Page Denied

CORNELL UNIVERSITY

312 DAY HALL
ITHACA, NEW YORK 14853

Executive Registry

85-

1940/7

VICE PRESIDENT FOR RESEARCH
AND ADVANCED STUDIES
607-256-7256

May 23, 1985

Mr. William J. Casey
Director
Central Intelligence Agency
Washington, D.C. 20505

Dear Mr. Casey:

This letter is written to urge your support of the June 15, 1984 draft "National Policy on the Transfer of Scientific and Technical Information," which the National Security Council is reviewing. I have attached a copy for your reference. It is the joint product of an interagency working group that involved the Office of Science and Technology Policy, the Department of Defense, and others.

The policy establishes classification as the sole mechanism for the control of fundamental research in universities. As such, it will provide a clear guideline to follow, and a necessary framework for developing sound, consistent policies for the conduct of research and communication of results concerning projects the agencies support. Any policy which does not clearly require advance classification as outlined by the June 15 draft would invite situations which could lead to confusion, potential abuse, and legal and other complications.

Therefore, the draft should be adopted as a viable, clean and workable policy to identify restrictions of research and technology carried out at research universities. I urge you to recommend that it be promulgated as a national policy.

Sincerely yours,


Joseph M. Ballantyne

jmb/me

Page Denied

Executive Registry

1940/8

Tulane

Office of the President

May 24, 1985


William Casey
Director, Central Intelligence Agency
Washington, D. C. 20505

Dear Mr. Casey:

I am writing in regard to the draft National Policy on the Transfer of Scientific and Technical Information (attached).

Open communication is essential to achieving the mission of our nation's universities: the growth and transmission of knowledge. On the other hand, we realize the necessity of confidentiality in the conduct of certain aspects of government. The draft National Policy on the Transfer of Scientific and Technical Information strikes a balance between these needs, making it possible for universities to more clearly determine when they are entering into areas in which the government perceives a need for protection of information. We believe this proposed policy will lead to fewer misunderstandings and enhanced cooperation between university researchers and government agencies. I encourage you to promptly promulgate the statement without change.

Sincerely,


Dr. Eamon Kelly
President

*Tulane University
New Orleans, Louisiana 70118
(504) 865-5201*

Page Denied